# DINGLEY CORRIDOR – WARRIGAL ROAD TO WESTALL ROAD

# HABITAT HECTARE AND NET GAIN ASSESSMENT

**VicRoads Eastern Projects** 



25 Burwood Road, Hawthorn, Vic. 3122 P.O. Box 74, Richmond, Vic. 3121 Ph. (03) 9815 2111 Fax. (03) 9815 2685

> June 2011 Report No. 8094 (13.1)

# **ISSUE AND REVISION RECORD**

Revision	Date	Author/s	Reviewer	Revisions
1.0	19/5/11	Justin Sullivan	Alan Brennan	Initial Draft
1.1	01/06/11	Bill Wallach	Annabelle Stewart	Updating the report to include client comments.



Ding	ley Corridor –	Warrigal Road to Westall Road: Flora and Fauna Assessment	Report No. 8094 (13.1)
CO	NTENTS		
1.	EXECUTI	/E SUMMARY	
2.	INTRODU	ICTION	6
3.	SOURCE	S OF INFORMATION	7
3	.1. Exis	ting information	7
	3.1.1.	Ecological Vegetation Classes	7
3	.2. Field	d methodology	7
	3.2.1.	Flora	
	3.2.2.	Native vegetation	
3	.3. Limi	tations of field assessment	
4.	SITE DES	CRIPTION	
5.	ASSESS	IENT RESULTS	
	5.1.1.	Flora species	
	5.1.2.	Ecological Vegetation Classes	
	5.1.3.	Scattered trees	
	5.1.4.	Degraded treeless vegetation	20
6.	IMPACTS	AND REGULATORY IMPLICATIONS	21
6	.1. Prop	bosed development and direct impacts	
6	.2. Plar	ining controls	
6	.3. Nati	ve VegetationManagement Framework	
	6.3.1.	How the Framework operates	21
	6.3.2.	Design recommendations	23
	6.3.3.	Indicative Offset Targets	23
	6.3.3.1.	Offset targets for removalfrom habitat zones	
	6.3.4.	Offset targets for removal of scattered trees	
7.	CONCLU	SIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS	
7	.1. Con	clusions	
7	.2. Miti	gation Recommendations	
8.	REFEREN	NCES	

# **TABLES**



Dingley Corridor - Warrigal Road to Westall Road: Flora and Fauna Assessment	Report No. 8094 (13.1)
2: Summary of habitat hectare assessment results	19
3: Summary of scattered trees in the study area	20
4: Likely response to applications for removal of intact native vegetat	ion22
5: Application referral criteria	22
6: Like-for-like requirements for offsetting removal of remnant patch	native vegetation
7: Offset targets for removal from habitat zones	25
8: Summary of offset targets for scattered tree removal	27

# FIGURES

1: Study area and native vegetation	13
2: Study area and native vegetation	14
3: Study area and native vegetation	15
4: Study area and native vegetation	16

# **APPENDICES**

1: Flora species recorded in the study area and threatened species known (or with th	ıe
potential) to occur in the search region	.32
2: Detailed habitat hectare assessment results	.38
3: Scattered trees in the study area	.40
4: EVC Benchmarks	.41
5: Best / Remaining 50% habitat assessment for rare and threatened species	.42



## **1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

VicRoads Eastern Projects engaged BL&A to conduct a habitat hectare and net gain assessment for a number of parcels of land along a six kilometre proposed road corridor from Warrigal Road to Westall Road Extension, Dingley, southeast of Melbourne.

A previous flora and fauna assessment report was prepared by BL&A in December 2010. The database searches and results of this initial assessment form part of the basis of this report. Revisions to the mapping of native vegetation were undertaken as part of this assessment and all areas of native vegetation within the study area were assessed in detail.

A total of nine remnant patches of native vegetation totalling 3.28 habitat hectares (8.02 hectares) were recorded in the study area. Native vegetation recorded in these areas belonged to four Ecological Vegetation Classes (EVCs) including Damp Sands herb-rich Woodland (EVC 3), Swamp Scrub (EVC 53), Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55) and Creekline Grassy Woodland (EVC 68).

Three small areas of Degraded Treeless Vegetation totalling 0.71 hectares were also recorded on areas of artificial substrate within the study area.

The following recommendations are provided for the project to meet the principles of the Framework:

- Avoid and minimise the removal of native vegetation in the form of remnant patches and scattered trees where possible;
- Where any removal of native vegetation cannot be avoided, provide compensation for the removal in the form of native vegetation offsets.

As a detailed design for the project has not yet been finalised, offset targets have been provided in this report based on the removal of all native vegetation in the study area.

These targets provide a conservative worst case scenario of the actual offsets that would be required. Once a design has been finalised, it is likely that a significant proportion of native vegetation will be retained.

The following implications would pertain to the current development proposal:

- A planning permit is required for the removal of native vegetation within the proposed road corridor for this project.
- The current proposal would trigger a referral to DSE if the following native vegetation is proposed for removal:
  - More than 0.5 hectares of vulnerable or endangered remnant patch vegetation; and
  - More than five scattered trees of DBH 40 centimetres or greater.
- The following offset targets, meeting like-for-like rules, would be required for the removal of all native vegetation within the study area (Note that these are indicative offset targets only and that accurate offset targets will be provided once a detailed design has been prepared for the project):



- 0.08 habitat hectares for the removal of 0.08 habitat hectares (0.43 hectares) of medium conservation significance Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland(EVC 3);
- 3.04 habitat hectares for the removal of 2.03 habitat hectares (4.50 hectares) of high conservation significance Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland (EVC 3);
- 0.19 habitat hectares for the removal of 0.13 habitat hectares (0.63 hectares) of high conservation significance Swamp Scrub (EVC 53);
- 0.22 habitat hectares for the removal of 0.14 habitat hectares (0.44 hectares) of high conservation significance Creekline Grassy Woodland (EVC 68);
- 0.07 habitat hectares for the removal of 0.04 habitat hectares (0.16 hectares) of high conservation significance Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55);
- 1.72 habitat hectares for the removal of 0.86 habitat hectares (1.86 hectares) of Very High conservation significance Swamp Scrub (EVC 53);
- The protection of 28 large trees and recruitment of 140 new plants for the removal of large trees from Habitat Zones B, F and I.
- The protection of 3 medium trees and recruitment of 283 new plants OR the recruitment of 343 new plants for the removal of 15scattered trees.



# 2. INTRODUCTION

VicRoads Eastern Projects engaged BL&A to conduct a habitat hectare and net gain assessment for a number of parcels of land along a six kilometre proposed road corridor from Warrigal Road to Westall Road Extension, Dingley, southeast of Melbourne.

A previous flora and fauna assessment report was prepared by BL&A in December 2010. The database searches and results of this initial assessment form part of the basis of this report. Revisions to the mapping of native vegetation were undertaken as part of this assessment and all areas of native vegetation within the study area were assessed in detail.

This investigation was commissioned to provide information on the implications for the removal of native vegetation within the study area under Victoria's Native Vegetation Management Framework (DNRE 2002), referred to herein as the 'Framework'.

Specifically, the scope of the investigation included:

- A site survey involving:
  - Identification of the extent and type of any remnant vegetation and the mapping of habitat zones and scattered trees;
  - Habitat scoring to ascertain vegetation condition in each habitat zone, consistent with the methodology required for net gain analysis under the state vegetation planning provisions; and
  - Searches for rare and threatened flora species within areas of remnant native vegetation that may be affected by the proposed development (subject to seasonal constraints);
- Preparation of a map of the site, showing the results of the native vegetation assessment.

This report is divided into the following sections:

**Section 3** describes the sources of information, including the methods used for the field survey.

Section 4 provides an overview of the characteristics of the study area.

Section 5 presents the results of the habitat hectare assessment.

**Section 6** discusses the implications of the findings, including indicative offset targets for the removal of native vegetation within the study area.

**Section 7** provides recommendations to inform the design process and assist the development of a minimum impact proposal.

This investigation was undertaken by a team from Brett Lane & Associates Pty. Ltd., comprising Justin Sullivan (Botanist), Davide Coppolino (Botanist) and Alan Brennan (Senior Ecologist& Project Manager).



# 3. SOURCES OF INFORMATION

#### 3.1. Existing information

Existing information regarding flora, fauna and ative vegetation utilised as part of this investigation is described below. Note that 'study area' refers to the six kilometre proposed route for the Dingley Corridor between Warrigal Road and Westall Road Extension.

Existing information for this assessment was initially obtained during the previous flora and fauna assessment undertaken by BL&A in December 2010 and was reviewed for the purpose of this assessment. This information was obtained from a wider area, termed the 'search region' defined for this assessment as an area with radius five kilometres along the proposed alignmentof coordinates: latitude37°56' 19" S and longitude 145° 04' 47" E to latitude37°58' 07" S and longitude 145° 08' 07" E. Further details of existing information utilised for this assessment is provided in the previous flora and fauna assessment report:

 Dingley Corridor – Warrigal Road to Westall Road: Flora and Fauna Assessment, BL&A Report 8094 (8.1), December 2010.

#### 3.1.1. Ecological Vegetation Classes

Pre-1750 (pre-European settlement) vegetation mapping was reviewed to determine the type of native vegetation likely to occur in the study area. Information on Ecological Vegetation Classes was obtained from published EVC benchmarks. These sources included:

- Relevant EVC benchmarks for the Gippsland Plain bioregion<sup>1</sup> (DSE 2011a); and
- Biodiversity Interactive Maps (DSE 2011b).

#### 3.2. Field methodology

The initial flora and fauna assessment was undertaken by a botanist and zoologist from BL&A on the 28<sup>th</sup> September 2010.Duringthis assessment, the study area was inspected initially by vehicle and areas supporting remnant native vegetation and/or fauna habitat were surveyed in more detail on foot.

The habitat hectare assessment was undertaken by two botanists from BL&A on the 13<sup>th</sup> May 2011. During this assessment all sites mapped in the initial flora and fauna assessment as areas supporting native vegetation were visited. Mapping and classification were reviewed and habitat hectare/scattered tree assessments were undertaken where required. Habitat hectare and scattered tree assessments were only undertaken for native vegetation within the study area boundary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>A bioregion is defined as "a geographic region that captures the patterns of ecological characteristics in the landscape, providing a natural framework for recognising and responding to biodiversity values". In general bioregions reflect underlying environmental features of the landscape (DNRE 1997).



#### 3.2.1. Flora

Incidental records of flora species were made based on intuitive sampling methods within all vegetation types and landforms. Specimens requiring identification using laboratory techniques were collected.

#### *3.2.2. Native vegetation*

Native vegetation in Victoria has been defined by the DSE as belonging to three categories:

- Remnant patch;
- Scattered trees; and
- Degraded treeless vegetation.

A description of these is provided below with the prescribed DSE methods to assess them.Wetlands are not assessed as native vegetation under the Framework.

#### Remnant patch

Remnant patches of native vegetation comprise indigenous plant species considered part of a clearly definable EVC and are defined by the DSE as:

- An area of native vegetation, with or without trees, where at least 25% of the understorey cover is indigenous (excluding bare ground); and/or
- "Agroup (i.e. three or more) of trees where the tree canopy cover is at least 20%" (DSE 2007a).

#### Scattered trees

DSE (2007a) define scattered trees as indigenous canopy trees with a diameter at breast height (1.3 metres) (DBH) greater than ten centimetres "within an area where at least 75% of the total understorey plant cover is introduced vegetation and the overall canopy cover for a group (i.e. three or more) of treesis less than 20%".

#### Degraded treeless vegetation

Degraded treeless vegetation comprises all other vegetation (DSE 2007a) including:

- Treeless vegetation with less than 25% total cover of indigenous species (excluding bare ground); or
- Treeless vegetation that has greater than 25% total cover of indigenous species (excluding bare ground) but is dominated by a small number of opportunistic native species which were unlikely to have been dominant prior to a disturbance event (e.g. cropping).

#### 3.3. Limitations of field assessment

Where feasible, all efforts are made to schedule native vegetation surveys in optimal weather conditions and times of year. Nevertheless, field surveys usually fail to record all species present for various reasons, including the seasonal



absence of some species and short survey duration. Rare or cryptic species are often missed in short surveys.

The habitat hectare assessment was carried out in autumn, when many springemergent plant species may have been in the senescent stage of their life-cycle and lacking essential identification characteristics. The timing of the survey and condition of vegetation was otherwise considered suitable to ascertain the extent and quality of native vegetation.

As the primary purpose of the investigation was to assess the extent and quality of native vegetation in the study area, the review of existing information, combined with the field survey were sufficient to complete this aspect of the assessment.

Wherever appropriate, a precautionary approach has been adopted in the discussion of implications. That is, where insufficient evidence is available on the occurrence or likelihood of occurrence of a species, it is assumed that it could be in an area of suitable habitat. The implications under legislation and policy are considered accordingly.



### 4. SITE DESCRIPTION

The study area for this investigation (Figure 1) is a six kilometre long proposed road corridor from Warrigal Road to Westall Road Extension, Dingley, approximately 20 kilometres south east of Melbourne.

The study area comprises various land uses, including farming (mainly cropping) and existing roads and adjacent road reserves. Vegetation within farm properties is limited to planted crop, introduced pasture grasses and weeds. Few scattered indigenous trees and shrubs were recorded in these areas. Few small patches of native vegetation were recorded within existing road reserves, including a large area of remnant vegetation on the western side of Westall Road (Springvale Road).

Surrounding land predominantly supports current residential developments and market gardens. The study area supported sandy soils on a mainly flat landscape. A large dam was present in the western part of the study area adjacent to an existing quarry.

Native vegetation within the study area consisted of various types of woodland, as well as swamp scrub and a small area of wetland. The main type of vegetation present was Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland which was dominated by Coast Manna-gum (*Eucalyptus viminalissubsp. pryoriana*) and also comprised various native understorey species including Sallow Wattle and Thatch Saw-sedge. Austral Bracken was common in the understorey in this vegetation along with a high cover of introduced grasses. Indigenous River Red-gums and Swamp Gums formed the canopy component of other types of woodland within the study area. Scrubby vegetation was distinguished in the study area by the dense canopy of Swamp Paperbark.

The study area lies within the Gippsland Plain bioregionand falls within the Port Phillip and Westernportcatchment. It is currently zoned Road Zone – Category 1 (RDZ1) in the Kingston and Greater Dandenong Planning Scheme. No overlays relevant to flora, fauna or native vegetation cover the study area.



# 5. ASSESSMENT RESULTS

#### 5.1.1. Flora species

A total of 84 plant species were recorded within the study area during the flora and fauna assessment and the habitat hectare assessment. Of these, 32 (38%) were indigenous and 52 (62%)were introduced or non-indigenous native in origin (Appendix 1).

FIS records (Viridans Biological Databases 2010a) and the EPBC Protected Matters Search Tool (DEWHA 2010) indicate that within the search region there are records of, or there occurs potential suitable habitat for, 39rare or threatened flora species. Of these, seven species were listed under the federal EPBC Act, nine on the state *Flora and Fauna Guarantee Act* 1988(FFG Act) and 38 on DSE's Advisory List for Rare and Threatened Flora (DSE 2005). Norare or threatened flora species were detected during the current field survey.

The likelihood of occurrence in the study area of threatened species listed under the FFG Act or the EPBC Act has been addressed in the initial flora and fauna assessment and is not discussed further in this report.

#### 5.1.2. Ecological Vegetation Classes

Pre-European EVC mapping (DSE 2011b) indicates that the study area and surrounds would have supported several different EVCs prior to European settlement based on modelling of factors including rainfall, aspect, soils and remaining vegetation.

Evidence on site, including floristic composition and soil characteristics, suggested Damp Sands herb-rich Woodland (EVC 3), Swamp Scrub (EVC 53), Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55) and Creekline Grassy Woodland (EVC 68) were present within the study area.

**Damp Sands herb-rich Woodland (EVC 3)**has a vulnerable conservation status in the Gippsland Plain bioregion. The benchmark for this EVC describes it as "A low, grassy or bracken-dominated eucalypt forest or open woodland to 15 m tall with a large shrub layer and ground layerrich in herbs, grasses, and orchids. [It] occurs mainly on flat or undulating areas on moderately fertile, relatively well-drained, deepsandy or loamy topsoils over heavier subsoils (duplex soils)." (Appendix 4).

**Swamp Scrub (EVC 53)**has an endangered conservation status in the Gippsland Plain bioregion. The benchmark for this EVC describes it as "Closed scrub to 8 m tall at low elevations on alluvial deposits along streams or on poorly drained sites with higher nutrientavailability. The EVC is dominated by Swamp Paperbark Melaleuca ericifolia (or sometimes Woolly Tea-tree Leptospermumlanigerum) which often forms a dense thicket, out-competing other species. Occasional emergent eucalypts may be present.Where light penetrates to ground level, a moss/lichen/liverwort or herbaceous ground cover is often present. Dry variants havea grassy/herbaceous ground layer" (Appendix 4).

**Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55)**has an endangered conservation status in the Gippsland Plain bioregion. The benchmark for this EVC describes it as "An open, eucalypt woodland to 15 m tall occurring on a number of geologies and soil types. [It] occupies poorly drained, fertilesoils on flat or gently undulating plains at low



elevations. The understorey consists of a few sparse shrubs over a speciesrichgrassy and herbaceous ground layer" (Appendix 4).

**Creekline Grassy Woodland (EVC 68)** has an endangered conservation status in the Gippsland Plain bioregion. The benchmark for this EVC describes it as "Eucalypt-dominated woodland to 15 m tall with occasional scattered shrub layer over a mostly grassy/sedgy to herbaceousground-layer. Occurs on low-gradient ephemeral to intermittent drainage lines, typically on fertile colluvial/alluvial soils, on a wide range of suitably fertile geological substrates. These minor drainage lines can include a range of graminoid and herbaceous species tolerant of waterlogged soils, and are presumed to have sometimes resembled a linear wetland or systemof interconnected small ponds" (Appendix 4).

A total of nine remnant patches (referred to herein as habitat zones) comprising the abovementioned EVCs were identified in the study area (Table 1). Labelling of Habitat Zones is based on the labels used in the initial flora and fauna assessment. Additional Habitat Zones were defined during this assessment and are presented here also. The location of each of these habitat zones is shown in Figures 1 to 4.





250

500

# Legend

#### Study Area



### **Scattered Trees**

- Medium
- Small

# Native Vegetation

Creekland Grassy Woodland (EVC 68)
Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland (EVC 3)
Plains Grassy Wetland (EVC 125)
Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55)
Swamp Scrub (EVC 53)
Degraded Treeless Vegetation

Figure 1: Study Area and Native vegetation - Overview Project: Dingley Corridor - Warrigal Rd to Westall Rd Client: VicRoads Eastern Projects Date: 17/05/2011 Created By: J.Sullivan/ M.Ghasemi Project No.: 8094 BL&A Brett Lane & Associates Pty. Ltd. Ecological Research & Management 73 Experience 25 Burwood Rd, Hawthorn ph (03) 9815 2111 | fax (03) 9815 2685 Knowledge 1,000 PO Box 74, Richmond blane@ecologicalresearch.com.au Solutions Meters VIC 3121 Australia www.ecologicalresearch.com.au



# Legend



Study Area

Large Trees in Habitat Zones



Creekland Grassy Woodland (EVC 68) Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland (EVC 3) Plains Grassy Wetland (EVC 125) Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55) Swamp Scrub (EVC 53) Degraded Treeless Vegetation

Native Vegetation lables А Tree Numbers 2 200 Mete 0 50 100

Figure 2: Stu	Figure 2: Study Area and Native vegetation					
Project: Dingle	Project: Dingley Corridor - Warrigal Rd to Westall Rd					
Client: VicRoa	Client: VicRoads Eastern Projects					
Project No.: 8094.8	Date: 17/05/2010	Created By: J.Sullivan/ M.Ghasemi				
BL&A	Brett Lane & Associates Pty. I Ecological Research & Manager	Ltd.				
<ul> <li>Experience</li> <li>Knowledge</li> <li>Solutions</li> </ul>	25 Burwood Rd, Hawthorn P0 Box 74, Richmond VIC 3121 Australia	ph (03) 9815 2111   fax (03) 9815 2685 blane@ecologicalresearch.com.au www.ecologicalresearch.com.au				

Small •

**Scattered Trees** 

Medium



# Legend



- Study Area
- Large Trees in Habitat Zones

### **Scattered Trees**

- Medium
- Small

# Native Vegetation

Creekland Grassy Woodland (EVC 68)
Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland (EVC 3)
Plains Grassy Wetland (EVC 125)
Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55)
Swamp Scrub (EVC 53)
Degraded Treeless Vegetation

Α	Native	e Vege	etation lab	oles	ſ
2	Tree	Numbe	ers		ľ
	0	50	100	200	ſ
				Meters	1

Figure 3: Study Area and Native vegetation						
Project: Dingley Corridor - Warrigal Rd to Westall Rd						
Client: VicRoads Eastern Projects						
Project No.: 8094.8	Date: 17/05/2010	Created By: J.Sullivan/ M.Ghasemi				
BL&A	Brett Lane & Associates Pty. Ecological Research & Manage	Ltd.				
<ul> <li>Experience</li> <li>Knowledge</li> <li>Solutions</li> </ul>	25 Burwood Rd, Hawthorn PO Box 74, Richmond VIC 3121 Australia	ph (03) 9815 2111   lax (03) 9815 2685 blane@ecologicalresearch.com.au www.ecologicalresearch.com.au				



# Legend

# .cycnu

- Study Area
- Large Trees in Habitat Zones

# **Scattered Trees**

- Medium
- Small

Creekland Grassy Woodland (EVC 68)
Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland (EVC 3)
Plains Grassy Wetland (EVC 125)
Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55)
Swamp Scrub (EVC 53)
Degraded Treeless Vegetation

A	Native Vegetation lables						
2	Tree Numbers						
	0	50	100	200	toro		
	_			IVIE	siel S		

Figure 4: Study Area and Native vegetation							
Project: Dingley Corridor - Warrigal Rd to Westall Rd							
Client: VicRoa	ds Eastern Proje	cts					
Project No.: 8094.8	Date: 17/05/2010	Created By: J.Sullivan/ M.Ghasemi					
BL&A	Brett Lane & Associates Pty. I Ecological Research & Manager	Ltd.					
<ul> <li>Experience</li> <li>Knowledge</li> <li>Solutions</li> </ul>	25 Burwood Rd, Hawthorn PO Box 74, Richmond VIC 3121 Australia	ph (03) 9815 2111   fax (03) 9815 2685 blane@ecologicatresearch.com.au www.ecologicatresearch.com.au					

#### Table 1: Description of habitat zones in the study area

Habitat Zone#	EVC	Bioregional Conservation Status	Description
В	Damp Sands Herb- rich Woodland (EVC 3)	Vulnerable	Small patches of open woodland on eastern side of Old Boundary Road dominated by Coast Manna-gum. Sallow Wattle present in mid-layer. Ground cover consists namely of introduced grasses and Blackberry.
F*	Damp Sands Herb- rich Woodland (EVC 3)	Vulnerable	Large patch of woodland on western side of Westall Road (Springvale Road). Dominant native species include Coast Manna-gum, Sallow Wattle and Thatch Saw-sedge. While various indigenous understorey species occur at low cover levels, the understorey consists of a high weed cover, including Annual Veldt-grass, Panic Veldt-grass and Flax-leaf Broom. Leaf litter is very high in this area of vegetation.
н	Swamp Scrub (EVC 53)	Endangered	Patch of Swamp Scrub dominated by a dense cover of Swamp Paperbark. Few other indigenous species, including Sallow Wattle occur in this area. The understorey consists entirely of introduced grasses (Kikuyu) and weeds (Blackberry).
I	Damp Sands Herb- rich Woodland (EVC 3)	Vulnerable	Small patch of woodland dominated by Coast Manna-gum and Sallow Wattle. Some planted trees including Southern Mahogany occur in this area. The understorey consists mainly of introduced grasses.
J	Swamp Scrub (EVC 53)	Endangered	Patch of Swamp Scrub dominated by a dense cover of Swamp Paperbark. No other indigenous species were recorded in this area. The understorey consists entirely of introduced grasses (Kikuyu) and weeds (Blackberry).
к	Creekline Grassy Woodland (EVC 68)	Endangered	Occurs along an existing drainage line in which a small amount of water was present at the time of surveying. Swamp Gum was the dominant canopy species and Black Wattle was common in dense cover. Woody weeds such as Sweet Pittosporum as well as a high cover of introduced grasses were present in this area.



Habitat Zone#	EVC	Bioregional Conservation Status	Description
L	Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55)	Endangered	Small area of woodland distinguished by a canopy of River Red-gum. Sallow Wattle was common within this habitat zone as well as Knob Sedge, an indigenous graminoid species. While recruitment of the canopy species was evident, the area consisted of a high cover of high threat weeds, namely Sweet Pittosporum and Bridal Creeper.
0	Swamp Scrub (EVC 53)	Endangered	Large area of Swamp Scrub distinguished by a dense cover of Swamp Paperbark. Sallow Wattle and Blackwood were common throughout. Weed cover was high in this habitat zone and consisted mostly of high threat weeds, namely Blackberry and Sheep Sorrel.
Р	Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55)	Endangered	Small area of woodland distinguished by a canopy of River Red-gum. Few Coast Manna-gum and Swamp Gum individuals were also present in this habitat zone as it occurs partly in a transition zone between this vegetation type and Damp-Sands Herb-rich Woodland. Some water was present in this area at the time of the survey and various aquatic plants were recorded in the understorey including Water Ribbons. While recruitment of the canopy species was evident, the area consisted of a high cover of high threat weeds, namely Gorse and Blackberry.

# = Habitat Zone labels follow labelling from initial flora and fauna assessment report (BL&A 2010); \* = Several changes have been made to Habitat Zone F since the original BL&A Report 8094 (8.1). It has been separated into different patches and EVCs based on species composition and quality.



The habitat hectare assessment results for these habitat zones are provided in Table 2, including any large trees in habitat zones. More detailed habitat scoring results are presented in Appendix 2.

Habitat Zone	EVC no.	Area (ha)	Habitat Score (out of 100)	Habitat Hectare (Hha)	Conservation Significance	No. of large trees in habitat zone
В	Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland	0.25	16	0.04	Medium	1
F	Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland	4.50	45	2.03	High	6
н	Swamp Scrub	0.23	15	0.03	High	0
I	Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland	0.17	22	0.04	Medium	1
J	Swamp Scrub	0.39	24	0.09	High	0
к	Creekline Grassy Woodland	0.44	33	0.14	High	0
L	Plains Grassy Woodland	0.05	22	0.01	High	0
0	Swamp Scrub	1.86	46	0.86	Very High	0
Р	Plains Grassy Woodland	0.11	30	0.03	High	0
Т	otals	8.02		3.28		8

#### Table 2: Summary of habitat hectare assessment results

# Note: Habitat Zones follow labelling from initial flora and fauna assessment report (BL&A 2010); Habitat Zones O and P are new zones that were identified during the habitat hectare assessment.

The conservation significance of habitat zones is based on the bioregional conservation status of the EVCs, habitat score of the vegetation, any significant site attributes and the results of the best / remaining 50% habitat assessment, presented in Appendix 5.

The assessment for best / remaining 50% of habitat has been undertaken for each Victorian listed flora and fauna species that has been recorded or is likely to occur in each habitat zone (DSE 2007a).

#### 5.1.3. Scattered trees

Scattered trees recorded in the study area would have once comprised the canopy component of Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland (EVC 3) and Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55). A total of 15 scattered trees occurred in the study area (Figure 1), of which three were medium and 12 were small. Size classes for



scattered trees are based on the benchmark large tree diameter at breast height (DBH) for the EVC for which it once belonged. The benchmark large tree diameter for Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland (EVC 3) is 70 centimetres and Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55) is 80 centimetres (Appendix 4). Scattered trees are listed in Appendix 3and summarised in Table 3.

Size Class	Representative EVC	DBH range (cm)	Conservation Significance	Number of trees
Medium	Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland	53 to 69	Medium	3
Small	Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland	17 to 52	Low	3
	Plains Grassy Woodland	20 to 59	Low	9

#### Table 3: Summary of scattered trees in the study area

Medium scattered trees in the study area are assigned a medium conservation significance based on the bioregional conservation status of the EVC to which they once belonged, as presented in Table 3. Small scattered trees are defined as having low conservation significance according to the Framework.

#### 5.1.4. Degraded treeless vegetation

Three small areas of vegetation (DTV)totaling 0.71 hectares within the study area have been defined as degraded treeless vegetation (see Figures 2 and 3). The two smaller areas mapped as DTV consist of linear patches of Swamp Paperbark growing in ditches on artificial substrate. The larger area of DTV is a cluster of Sallow Wattles which have grown on a large soil stockpile.

All three of these areas are considered to be occurring on artificial substrate and consist of a small number of opportunistic species that were unlikely to have been the dominant species prior to disturbance. This meets the definition of Degraded Treeless Vegetation.



## 6. IMPACTS AND REGULATORY IMPLICATIONS

#### 6.1. Proposed development and direct impacts

The proposed development will involve the development of a road corridor from Warrigal Road to Westall Road Extension.

The proposed development would potentially impact on the following native vegetation:

- Nine patches of remnant vegetation including Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland (EVC 3), Swamp Scrub (EVC 53); Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55) and Creekline Grassy Woodland (EVC 68).
- Fifteenscattered trees.

#### 6.2. Planning controls

Destruction, lopping or removal of native vegetation on allotments of 0.4 hectares or more requires a planning permit under Clause 52.17 of all Victorian Planning Schemes. This includes the removal of dead trees with a DBH of 40 centimetres or greater, native degraded treeless vegetation and/or any individual scattered native plants.

A planning permit is required for the removal of native vegetation within the proposed road corridor for this project.

Before issuing a planning permit, Responsible Authorities are obligated to refer to Clause 12.01 (Biodiversity) in the Planning Scheme. This refers in turn to Victoria's Native Vegetation Management Framework – a Framework for Action, discussed in the following section.

#### 6.3. Native VegetationManagement Framework

#### 6.3.1. How the Framework operates

Any proposal to remove native vegetation from the study area must demonstrate that the three-step approach of 'Net Gain' outlined in the Framework has been applied. This approach is hierarchical and includes the following steps:

<u>Step 1</u>: As a priority, *avoid* adverse impacts on native vegetation, particularly through clearance;

If the removal of native vegetation is unable to be avoided:

- <u>Step 2</u>: *Minimise* impacts through appropriate consideration in the planning process and expert input to project design or management; and
- <u>Step 3:</u> Identify appropriate *offset* options.

A combination of project design and offsetting should aim to achieve a net gain in the area and quality of native vegetation across Victoria.

Responses to planning permit applications to remove native vegetation vary depending on the conservation significance of the vegetation proposed for removal. Conservation significance determines both the likelihood of approval and, importantly, the scale of the required offset. This is summarised in Table 4.



Framework conservation significance	Likely response to application for clearing	Likely offset requirements		
VERY HIGH	Clearing not permitted unless exceptional circumstances apply. Offset Management Plan to be submitted with application.	Substantial Net Gain At least 2 X calculated loss in habitat hectares plus a large tree protection and replacement offset if any large trees are removed		
HIGH Clearing generally not permitted		Net Gain At least 1.5 X calculated loss in habitat hectares plus a large tree protection and replacement offset if any large trees are removed		
MEDIUM	Clearing generally not permitted	Equivalent Gain At least 1 X calculated loss in habitat hectares plus a large tree protection and replacement offset if any large trees are removed		
LOW	Clearing may be permitted but only as part of an appropriate sustainable use response	Equivalent Gain At least 1 X calculated loss in habitat hectares		

#### Table 4: Likely response to applications for removal of intact native vegetation

Offset targets are directly related to the habitat hectare value of the removed vegetation. They can comprise indigenous vegetation retained for conservation purposes within the study area, or vegetation elsewhere, secured on a case-by-case basis by the proponent or through the DSE Bush Broker scheme.

Clause 66.02 of the planning scheme determines the role of the DSEin the assessment of indigenous vegetation removal planning permit applications. If an application is referred to the DSE then the Responsible Authority must follow that department's recommendation in relation to that permit application. The criteria presented in Table 5 indicate when the DSE becomes a referral authority.

#### Table 5: Application referral criteria

Applications will be referred to the Department of Sustainability and Environment under the following circumstances:
Scattered Trees
<ul> <li>To remove more than 15 native or indigenous trees of DBH less than 40 centimetres</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>To remove more than fivenative or indigenous trees of DBH 40 centimetres or greater</li> </ul>
(DBH = diameter at 1.3 metres above ground)
Remnant Patch Vegetation (may include trees)
<ul> <li>To remove more than 0.5 hectares of vegetation in an EVC with Bioregional</li> </ul>
Conservation Status of Endangered, Vulnerable or Rare.
To remove more than one bectare of vegetation in an EVC with Bioregional

• To remove more than one hectare of vegetation in an EVC with Bioregional Conservation Status of Depleted or Least Concern.



The current proposal would triggera referral to DSE if the following native vegetation is proposed for removal:

- More than0.5 hectares of vulnerable or endangered remnant patch vegetation; and
- More than five scattered trees of DBH 40 centimetres or greater.

#### 6.3.2. Design recommendations

The following recommendations for the project to meet the principles of the Framework:

- Avoid and minimise the removal of native vegetation in the form of remnant patches and scattered trees where possible;
- Where any removal of native vegetation cannot be avoided, provide compensation for the removal in the form of native vegetation offsets.

#### 6.3.3. Indicative Offset Targets

As a detailed design for the project has not yet been finalised, offset targets have been provided in this section based on the removal of all native vegetation in the study area. It is to be noted that these offset targets only provide an indicator of the actual offsets that would be required once a detailed design has been finalised. It is recommended that the proposed development retains as much native vegetation in the form of remnant patches and scattered trees within the study area as possible. This would therefore result in a lower offset requirement than provided below.

#### 6.3.3.1. Offset targets for removalfrom habitat zones

Offsets for the removal of native vegetation from habitat zones are directly related to the habitat hectare value of the removed vegetation. These may include the permanent protection (e.g. Section 173 agreement under the *Planning and Environment Act 1987*) for conservation purposes of other existing remnant vegetation. Offsets are planned to be secured in perpetuity offsite. Offsite offsets may be identified on a case-by-case basis by the proponent or through the DSE Bush Broker scheme.

Offsets must be of a like-for-like nature as outlined in the Framework. Like-for-like requirements are summarised in Table 6.

Like-for-like	Conservation significance						
criteria	Very high	High	Medium	Low			
Type of vegetation that may be used for offsets	Same EVC	Same EVC OR very high conservation significance vegetation within the same bioregion	Any EVC in biore OR very hig conservation vegetation in biore	the same gion gh or high significance an adjacent gion			
Minimum quality of the existing vegetation proposed	90% of the quality in the area being	75% of the quality in the area being lost	50% of the q area be	uality in the ing lost			

# Table 6: Like-for-like requirements for offsetting removal of remnant patch native vegetation



Like-for-like	Conservation significance				
criteria	Very high	High	Medium	Low	
as the basis of an	lost				
offset					
Maximum proportion					
of the offset target					
(in Habitat Hectares)	10%	25%	50%	100%	
that may be achieved					
through revegetation					

Indicative offset targetsfor the removal of remnant patches of native vegetation within the study area are presented in Table 7.



#### Table 7: Offset targets for removal from habitat zones

	Habitat Hectares Target						Large Tree Target				
			Area of			Net Gain		Protect		Recruit <sup>^</sup>	
Habitat Zone	Conservation Significance	EVC	Habitat Zone in Study area (ha)	Habitat Hectares (Hha)	Net Gain Multiplier*	Target (Hha) per 0.1 hectares of removal	Total Losses	Multiplier*	Target (trees)	Multiplier*	Target (plants)
В	Medium	Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland	0.25	0.04	x 1	0.04	1	x 2	2	x 10	10
F	High	Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland	4.50	2.03	x 1.5	3.04	6	x 4	24	x 20	120
н	High	Swamp Scrub	0.23	0.03	x 1.5	0.05	0	-	-	-	-
I	Medium	Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland	0.17	0.04	x 1	0.04	1	x 2	2	x 10	10
J	High	Swamp Scrub	0.39	0.09	x 1.5	0.14	0	-	-	-	-
К	High	Creekline Grassy Woodland	0.44	0.14	x 1.5	0.22	0	-	-	-	-
L	High	Plains Grassy Woodland	0.05	0.01	x 1.5	0.02	0	-	-	-	-
0	Very high	Swamp Scrub	1.86	0.86	x 2	1.72	0	-	-	-	-
Р	High	Plains Grassy Woodland	0.11	0.03	x 1.5	0.05	0	-	-	-	-
		Totals	8.02	3.28		5.31	8		28		140

\* = These multipliers relate to Table 6 of the Framework and may be varied by the Regional Vegetation Plans; ^ = 15% of plants recruited must be canopy trees



The process of calculating offsets is highly complex. The area required to achieve the offset target is based on vegetation quality within the offset site and the proposed management, tenure and security. An appropriate third party offset site (i.e. site located on another property) would need to be identified through discussions with the Responsible Authority or with the DSE BushBroker coordinator. Financial contribution to the local government may also be used to account for part, or all, of the required offset. The cost of such an offset would require detailed negotiations with the relevant municipality.

Additional offset targets for removal of large trees from habitat zonesapply to any such approved removal under the Framework and the Port Phillip and Westernport Native Vegetation Plan (PPWCMA 2006) as presented in Table 7.

These offsets contain both a protection and recruitment component, whereby a prescribed number of existing trees must be protected for conservation purposes, and a prescribed number of new indigenous plants must be successfully recruited through planting and/or assisted natural regeneration.

#### 6.3.4. Offset targets for removal of scattered trees

Any approved removal of scattered trees will attract an offset target comprising protection and recruitment components, whereby a prescribed number of trees of the same size class must be protected and recruitment (planting or assisted regeneration) of indigenous plants undertaken. The scale of the offset is determined by the size class of the trees proposed to be removed. Alternatively, in the event that the protection of existing trees is considered not to be feasible, a 'recruit only' offset for tree removal may apply, subject to negotiation with the Responsible Authority.

Indicative offset targets for the removal of scattered trees, as determined by the Framework and the Port Phillip and Western Port Native Vegetation Plan (PPWCMA 2006), are presented in Table 8.



				Protect and	Recruit Option		
Conservation Significance	Size Class	No. Trees to be Removed	Protect (No. o	f Trees)	Recruit (No. of P	Recruit Only Option*	
olg into a loo			Multiplier*	Offset Total	Multiplier*	Offset Total	option
Medium	Medium	3	x 1	3	x 15	45	105
Low	Small	12	N/A	N/A	Variable #	238	238
Totals		15		3		283	343

 Table 8: Summary of offset targets for scattered tree removal

\* = 15% of plants recruited must be canopy trees only (PPWCMA 2006), # = Offsets for the removal of small scattered trees are calculated based on the specific DBH of the tree. Tree replacement numbers are sourced from Section 3.4.4 (Figure 7) of the Port Phillip and Western Port CMA Native Vegetation Plan (2006).



# 7. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

#### 7.1. Conclusions

The following implications would pertain to the current development proposal:

- A planning permit is required for the removal of native vegetation within the proposed road corridor for this project.
- The current proposal would trigger a referral to DSE if the following native vegetation is proposed for removal:
  - More than 0.5 hectares of vulnerable or endangered remnant patch vegetation; and
  - More than five scattered trees of DBH 40 centimetres or greater.
- The following offset targets, meeting like-for-like rules, would be required for the removal of all native vegetation within the study area (Note that these are indicative offset targets only and that accurate offset targets will be provided once a detailed design has been prepared for the project):
  - 0.08 habitat hectares for the removal of 0.08 habitat hectares(0.43 hectares) of medium conservation significance Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland(EVC 3);
  - 3.04 habitat hectares for the removal of 2.03 habitat hectares (4.50 hectares) of high conservation significance Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland (EVC 3);
  - 0.19 habitat hectares for the removal of 0.13 habitat hectares (0.63 hectares) of high conservation significance Swamp Scrub (EVC 53);
  - 0.22 habitat hectares for the removal of 0.14 habitat hectares (0.44 hectares) of high conservation significance Creekline Grassy Woodland (EVC 68);
  - 0.07 habitat hectares for the removal of 0.04 habitat hectares (0.16 hectares) of high conservation significance Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55);
  - 1.72 habitat hectares for the removal of 0.86 habitat hectares (1.86 hectares) of Very High conservation significance Swamp Scrub (EVC 53);
  - The protection of 28 large trees and recruitment of 140 new plants for the removal of large trees from Habitat Zones B, F and I.
  - The protection of 3 medium trees and recruitment of 283 new plants OR the recruitment of 343 new plants for the removal of 15scattered trees.

#### 7.2. Mitigation Recommendations

Consideration should be given to including the mitigation measures described below in a construction and operational environmental management plan for the project:



#### Pre-construction:

- Avoid disturbing the remnant patch native vegetation and scattered trees where feasible;
- In accordance with the Catchment and Land Protection Act 1994, the noxious weed species listed below, which were recorded in the study area, must be controlled using precision methods that minimise off-target kills (e.g. spot spraying). This method of control will be implemented throughout the project.
  - African Box-thorn;
  - Angled Onion;
  - o Fennel;
  - Flax-leaf Broom;
  - o Gorse; and
  - o Montpellier Broom.
- The proposed development should be designed in a way that does not alter the site's hydrology in areas that support native vegetation;
- Construction contractors should be inducted into an environmental management program for construction works; and
- All environmental controls should be checked for compliance on a regular basis.

#### Construction phase:

- Environmentally sensitive areas should be securely fenced off at least one metre from the perimeter and appropriately signed. All machinery and earthworks are to be excluded from these areas;
- Any tree pruning should be undertaken by an experienced arborist to prevent disease or unnecessary damage to the tree or disturbance to understorey vegetation during tree trimming;
- Any stockpiling will occur outside of environmentally sensitive areas;
- All machinery should enter and exit works sites along defined routes that do not impact on native vegetation or cause soil disturbance and weed spread;
- All machinery brought on site should be weed and pathogen free. This is important for environmental and agricultural protection.
- All machinery wash down, lay down and personnel rest areas should be defined (fenced) and located in disturbed areas; and
- Best practice erosion control should be installed where an erosion hazard is identified, erosion control activities should include:
  - The use of sediment fences down slope of exposed soil and stockpiles;
  - o Bunding of stockpiles; and
  - Minimisation of the area of disturbed soil at any one time.



#### Post-construction phase:

- Weed control, by an experienced bush regenerator, is to be carried out along disturbed areas after construction to control any weed outbreaks in bushland or wetland areas;
- A thirty metre buffer area along rivers, creeks and significant drainage lines should be revegetated with appropriate indigenous plants of local genetic provenance; and
- The use of local indigenous plant species, of local genetic provenance, should be considered in the landscaping of any development on the site. Locally indigenous species generally have low water-use requirements, high survival rates and provide habitat to local fauna species.



### 8. REFERENCES

- Department of Environment, Water, Heritage and the Arts 2010, Environmental Protection and Biodiversity Conservation Act 1999, Protected Matters Search Tool.Department of Environment,Water, Heritage and the Arts, Canberra, viewed 29<sup>th</sup> September 2010, <http://www.environment.gov.au >.
- Department of Natural Resources and Environment 1997, *Victoria's Biodiversity Our Living Wealth*.Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.
- Department of Natural Resources and Environment 2002, Victoria's Native Vegetation Management – a Framework for Action, Department of Natural Resources and Environment, Victoria.
- Department of Sustainability and Environment 2004, Native Vegetation: sustaining a living landscape, Vegetation Quality Assessment Manual – guidelines for applying the Habitat Hectare scoring method (Version 1.3). Department of Sustainability and Environment, East Melbourne, Victoria.
- Department of Sustainability and Environment 2005, Advisory List of Rare or Threatened Plants in Victoria.Department of Sustainability and Environment, East Melbourne, Victoria.
- Department of Sustainability and Environment2007a,*Native Vegetation: Guide for* assessment of Referred Planning Permit Applications. Department of Sustainability and Environment, East Melbourne, Victoria.
- Department of Sustainability and Environment 2007b, Advisory List of Threatened Vertebrate Fauna in Victoria.Department of Sustainability and Environment, East Melbourne, Victoria.
- Department of Sustainability and Environment 2011a, *Ecological Vegetation Class* (*EVC*) Benchmarks by Bioregion, Department of Sustainability and Environment, East Melbourne, Victoria, viewed 11<sup>th</sup> May 2011, <http://www.dse.vic.gov.au>.
- Department of Sustainability and Environment 2011b,*Biodiversity Interactive Map* 2.0.Department of Sustainability and Environment, East Melbourne, Victoria, viewed 11<sup>th</sup> May 2011, <a href="http://www.dse.vic.gov.au">http://www.dse.vic.gov.au</a>.
- Port Phillip and Westernport Catchment Management Authority 2006, Port Phillip and Western Port Native Vegetation Plan, Port Phillip and Westernport Catchment Management Authority, Frankston, Victoria.
- Viridans Biological Databases 2010a, Victorian Flora Information System, Viridans Pty Ltd, Bentleigh East, Victoria.
- Viridans Biological Databases 2010b, Atlas of Victorian Wildlife, Viridans Pty Ltd, Bentleigh East, Victoria.



Origin	Common Name	Scientific Name	Family Name	EPBC	FFG	DSE	Recorded
*	African Box-thorn	Lyciumferocissimum	Solanaceae				Х
*	Angled Onion	Allium triquetrum	Alliaceae				Х
*	Angled Onion	Allium triquetrum	Alliaceae				Х
*	Annual Veldt-grass	Ehrhartalongiflora	Poaceae				Х
	Austral Bracken	Pteridiumesculentum	Dennstaedtiaceae				Х
	Austral Toad-flax	Thesiumaustrale	Santalaceae	V	f	V	
*	Bastard's Fumitory	Fumariabastardii	Fumariaceae				Х
*	Black Nightshade	Solanumnigrums.s.	Solanaceae				Х
	Black Roly-poly	Sclerolaenamuricata var. muricata	Chenopodiaceae			k	
	Black Wattle	Acacia mearnsii	Mimosaceae				Х
*	Blackberry	Rubusfruticosus spp. agg.	Rosaceae				Х
	Blackwood	Acacia melanoxylon	Mimosaceae				Х
	Bog Gum	Eucalyptus kitsoniana	Myrtaceae			r	
	Bog-sedge	Schoenus spp.	Cyperaceae				Х
*	Bridal Creeper	Asparagus asparagoides	Asparagaceae				Х
*	Cape Weed	Arctotheca calendula	Asteraceae				Х
*	Carrot	Daucuscarota	Apiaceae				Х
*	Chickweed	Stellaria media	Caryophyllaceae				Х
*	Cleavers	Galiumaparine	Rubiaceae				Х
	Clover Glycine	Glycine latrobeana	Fabaceae	V	f	V	
	Coast Manna-gum	Eucalyptus viminalis subsp. pryoriana	Myrtaceae				Х
	Coast Saltwort	Salsola tragus subsp. pontica	Chenopodiaceae			r	
	Coast Stackhousia	Stackhousiaspathulata	Stackhousiaceae			k	
#	Coast Tea-tree	Leptospermum laevigatum	Myrtaceae				Х

Appendix 1: Flora species recorded in the study area and threatened species known (or with the potential) to occur in the search region



Origin	Common Name	Scientific Name	Family Name	EPBC	FFG	DSE	Recorded
	Common Apple-berry	Billardierascandenss.I.	Pittosporaceae				Х
*	Common Prickly-pear	Opuntiastricta	Cactaceae				Х
*	Common Sow-thistle	Sonchusoleraceus	Asteraceae				Х
	Common Spike-sedge	Eleocharisacuta	Cyperaceae				Х
*	Common Vetch	Vicia sativa	Fabaceae				Х
	Common Water-ribbons	Triglochinproceras.s.	Juncaginaceae				Х
*	Common Water-starwort	Callitrichestagnalis	Veronicaceae				Х
	Cotton Fireweed	Senecioquadridentatus	Asteraceae				Х
*	Couch	Cynodondactylon var. dactylon	Poaceae				Х
	Cream Spider-orchid	Caladeniapatersoniis.s.	Orchidaceae			е	
	Dodder Laurel	Cassytha spp.	Lauraceae				Х
*	Drain Flat-sedge	Cyperuseragrostis	Cyperaceae				Х
*	Fennel	Foeniculumvulgare	Apiaceae				Х
*	Flax-leaf Broom	Genistalinifolia	Fabaceae				Х
	Frankston Spider-orchid	Caladeniarobinsonii	Orchidaceae	E	f	е	
	Fringed Helmet-orchid	Corybasfimbriatus	Orchidaceae			r	
*	Galenia	Galeniapubescens var. pubescens	Aizoaceae				Х
	Golden Cowslips	Diurisbehrii	Orchidaceae			V	
*	Gorse	Ulexeuropaeus	Fabaceae				Х
*	Great Brome	Bromusdiandrus	Poaceae				Х
	Green-top Sedge	Carexchlorantha	Cyperaceae			k	
	Grey Billy-buttons	Craspediacanens	Asteraceae		f	е	
	Groundsel	Senecio spp.	Asteraceae				Х
	Half-bearded Spear-grass	Austrostipahemipogon	Poaceae			r	
	Ivy-leaf Violet	Viola hederaceasensuEntwisle (1996)	Violaceae				Х



Origin	Common Name	Scientific Name	Family Name	EPBC	FFG	DSE	Recorded
*	Japanese Honeysuckle	Lonicera japonica	Caprifoliaceae				Х
	Joint-leaf Rush	Juncusholoschoenus	Juncaceae				Х
	Kangaroo Apple	Solanumaviculare	Solanaceae				Х
*	Kikuyu	Pennisetumclandestinum	Poaceae				Х
*	Large Quaking-grass	Briza maxima	Poaceae				Х
	Large River Buttercup	Ranunculus papulentus	Ranunculaceae			k	
	Large White Spider-orchid	Caladeniavenusta	Orchidaceae			r	
*	Lemon-scented Gum	Corymbiacitriodora subsp. citriodora	Myrtaceae				Х
	Lightwood	Acacia implexa	Mimosaceae				Х
	Lizard Orchid	Burnettiacuneata	Orchidaceae			r	
	Loosestrife	Lythrum spp.	Lythraceae				Х
	Maroon Leek-orchid	Prasophyllumfrenchii	Orchidaceae	E	f	е	
	Melbourne Yellow-gum	Eucalyptus leucoxylon subsp. connata	Myrtaceae			V	
	Mentone Greenhood	Pterostylis X toveyana	Orchidaceae			V	
	Metallic Sun-orchid	Thelymitraepipactoides	Orchidaceae	E	f	е	
*	Mirror Bush	Coprosmarepens	Rubiaceae				Х
*	Montpellier Broom	Genistamonspessulana	Fabaceae				Х
	Narrow-lip Spider-orchid	Caladenialeptochila	Orchidaceae			k	
	Netted brake	Pteriscomans	Pteridaceae			r	
*	Onion Grass	Romulearosea	Iridaceae				Х
*	Ox-tongue	Helminthothecaechioides	Asteraceae				Х
	Pale Rush	Juncuspallidus	Juncaceae				Х
	Pale Swamp Everlasting	Helichrysumaff. rutidolepis (Lowland Swamps)	Asteraceae			v	
*	Palm Lily	Yucca gloriosa	Agavaceae				Х
*	Pampas Lily-of-the-Valley	Salpichroaoriganifolia	Solanaceae				Х



Origin	Common Name	Scientific Name Family Name		EPBC	FFG	DSE	Recorded
*	Panic Veldt-grass	Ehrhartaerecta var. erecta	Poaceae				Х
	Pondweed	Potamogeton spp.	Potamogetonaceae				Х
*	Prairie Grass	Bromuscatharticus	Bromuscatharticus Poaceae				Х
	Prawn Greenhood	Pterostylispedoglossa	Orchidaceae			v	
*	Prickly Lettuce	Lactucaserriola	Asteraceae				Х
	Prickly Moses	Acacia verticillata	Mimosaceae				Х
	Purple Blown-grass	Lachnagrostispunicea subsp. filifolia	Poaceae		f	r	
	Purple Diuris	Diurispunctata var. punctata	Orchidaceae		f	v	
*	Rat-tail Grass	Sporobolusafricanus	Poaceae				Х
*	Rat-tail Grass	Sporobolusafricanus	Poaceae				Х
*	Red-ink Weed	Phytolaccaoctandra	Phytolaccaceae				Х
	River Red-gum	Eucalyptus camaldulensis	Myrtaceae				Х
	River Swamp Wallaby-grass	Amphibromusfluitans	Poaceae	V			
	Rough Daisy-bush	Oleariaasterotricha	Asteraceae			r	
	Sallow Wattle	Acacia longifolia subsp. longifolia	Mimosaceae				Х
#	Sallow Wattle	Acacia longifolia	Mimosaceae				Х
*	Sheep Sorrel	Acetosella vulgaris	Polygonaceae				Х
Р	Sheoak	Allocasuarina spp.	Casuarinaceae				Х
	Silky Golden-tip	Goodialotifolia var. pubescens	Fabaceae			r	
	Small Poranthera	Porantheramicrophyllas.I.	Euphorbiaceae				Х
	Snowy Mint-bush	Prostantheranivea var. nivea	Lamiaceae			r	
*	Soursob	Oxalis pes-caprae	Oxalidaceae				Х
#	Southern Mahogany	Eucalyptus botryoides	Myrtaceae				Х
	Southern Spider-orchid	Caladeniaaustralis	Orchidaceae			k	
*	Sowbane	Chenopodiummurale	Chenopodiaceae				Х



Origin	Common Name	Scientific Name	Family Name	EPBC	FFG	DSE	Recorded
	Spiny-headed Mat-rush	Lomandralongifolia	Xanthorrhoeaceae				Х
	Studley Park Gum	Eucalyptus X studleyensis	Myrtaceae			е	
	Swamp Gum	Eucalyptus ovata	Myrtaceae				Х
	Swamp Paperbark	Melaleucaericifolia	Myrtaceae				Х
	Swamp Wallaby-grass	Amphibromus spp.	Poaceae				Х
#	Sweet Pittosporum	Pittosporumundulatum	Pittosporaceae				Х
	Sword Sedge	Lepidosperma spp.	Cyperaceae				Х
	Tasman Flax-lily	Dianellatasmanica	Hemerocallidaceae				Х
	Thatch Saw-sedge	Gahnia radula	Cyperaceae				Х
*	Tree Lucerne	Chamaecytisuspalmensis	Fabaceae				Х
*	Tree Lucerne	Chamaecytisuspalmensis	Fabaceae				Х
*	Turnip	Brassica spp.	Brassicaceae				Х
	Tussock Grass	Poa spp.	Poaceae				Х
	Veined Spear-grass	Austrostiparudis subsp. australis	Poaceae			r	
	Wallaby Grass	Austrodanthonia spp.	Poaceae				Х
	Water Blinks	Montiafontana subsp. amporitana	Portulacaceae			k	
	Water Parsnip	Berulaerecta	Apiaceae			k	
	Weeping Grass	Microlaenastipoides var. stipoides	Poaceae				Х
	Western Water-starwort	Callitrichecyclocarpa	Veronicaceae	V	f	v	
*	White Arum-lily	Zantedeschiaaethiopica	Araceae				Х
	Wine-lipped Spider-orchid	Caladeniaoenochila	Orchidaceae			v	
	Woolly Waterlily	Philydrumlanuginosum	Philydraceae			v	
	Yarra Gum	Eucalyptus yarraensis	Myrtaceae			r	
*	Yorkshire Fog	Holcuslanatus	Poaceae				Х



\* = introduced species; # = native species occurring outside of natural range; P = planted; L =listed as threatened; EPBC = status under EPBC Act; DSE = status under DSE's Advisory List; C = critically endangered; E, e = endangered; V, v = vulnerable; R, r = rare; k = insufficiently known



Habi	tat Zone		В	F	Н		J
EVC	Name (Initials)		DSHrW	DSHrW	SS	DSHrW	SS
EVC	Number		3	3	53	3	53
Tota	area of Habitat Zor	ne (ha)	0.25	4.50	0.23	0.17	0.39
	Large Old Trees	/10	3	2	N/A	3	N/A
	Canopy Cover	/5	4	5	3	4	5
	Lack of Weeds	/15	0	0	0	0	0
ы	Understorey	/25	5	15	5	5	5
diti	Recruitment	/10	0	10	0	0	5
ŭ	Organic Matter	/5	3	3	3	5	3
te O	Logs	/5	0	5	N/A	4	N/A
Si	Total site cond	lition score	15	40	11	21	18
	Possible site cond	lition score	75	75	60	75	60
	Adjusted site	e condition score*	15	40	14	21	23
e	Patch Size	/10	1	4	1	1	1
scap	Neighbourhood	/10	0	1	0	0	0
ands	Distance to Core	/5	0	0	0	0	0
Ľ	Landscape conte	xt subtotal	1	5	1	1	1
Tota	Habitat Score	/100	16	45	15	22	24
Habi	tat score out of 1		0.16	0.45	0.15	0.22	0.24
Habi	tat Hectares in Habi	tat Zone#	0.04	2.03	0.03	0.04	0.09
Biore	egion		Gipp Plain	Gipp Plain	Gipp Plain	Gipp Plain	Gipp Plain
EVC	Conservation Status	5	Vulnerable	Vulnerable	Endangered	Vulnerable	Endangered
ion ce	Conservation State Habitat Score	IS X	Medium	High	High	Medium	High
rvat ican	Threatened Specie	es Rating	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
nse gnif	Other Site Attribute	e Rating	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
S is	Overall Conservati Significance (highe	on est)	Medium	High	High	Medium	High
No. L Zone	arge Old Trees^ in H	Habitat	1	6	N/A	1	N/A

### Appendix 2: Detailed habitat hectare assessment results



Habi	tat Zone		K	L	0	Р	
EVC	Name (Initials)		CGW	PGWood	SS	PGWood	
EVC	Number		68	55	53	55	
Total	area of Habitat Zor	ne (ha)	0.44	0.05	1.86	0.11	
	Large Old Trees	/10	0	0	N/A	0	
	Canopy Cover	/5	4	3	5	4	
	Lack of Weeds	/15	0	0	4	0	
c .	Understorey	/25	15	5	15	15	
itio	Recruitment	/10	0	6	6	3	
pu	Organic Matter	/5	5	3	3	3	
ပိ	Logs	/5	4	0	N/A	0	
Site	Total site cond	ition score	28	17	33	25	
	Possible site	e condition score	75	75	60	75	
	Adjusted site	e condition score*	28	17	41	25	
e	Patch Size	/10	4	4	4	4	
scap	Neighbourhood	/10	1	1	1	1	
Con	Distance to Core	/5	0	0	0	0	
Ľ	Landscape context subtotal		5	5	5	5	
Total	Habitat Score	/100	33	22	46	30	
Habi	tat score out of 1		0.33	0.22	0.46	0.30	
Habi	tat Hectares in Hab	itat Zone#	0.14	0.01	0.86	0.03	
Biore	egion		Gipp Plain	Gipp Plain	Gipp Plain	Gipp Plain	
EVC	Conservation Status	6	Endangered	Endangered	Endangered	Endangered	
ce u	Conservation Stat Habitat Score	us x	High	High	Very High	High	
vati can	Threatened Specie	es Rating	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
nsel gnifi	Other Site Attribut	e Rating	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
පි බී	Overall Conservation	on est)	High	High	Very High	High	
No. L	arge Trees in Habit	at Zone	0	0	N/A	0	

\* = Modified approach to habitat scoring - refer to Table 14 of DSE's Vegetation Quality Assessment Manual (DSE, 2004); # = Habitat hectares (habitat score/100 X area [ha]



#### Appendix 3: Scattered trees in the study area

							C	Offset target if removed			
Tree	H7/Scat	Common Name	DBH	Size	Conservation	Remove/Retain	Protect a	Pooruit Only (no			
no.	112) 00000		(cm)	Class	Significance		Protect (no. trees)	Recruit (no. plants)*	plants)*		
1	Scat	River Red-gum	30	Small	Low	Remove	N/A	12	12		
2	Scat	River Red-gum	28	Small	Low	Remove	N/A	10	10		
3	Scat	River Red-gum	26	Small	Low	Remove	N/A	8	8		
4	Scat	River Red-gum	35	Small	Low	Remove	N/A	20	20		
5	Scat	River Red-gum	26	Small	Low	Remove	N/A	8	8		
6	Scat	River Red-gum	37	Small	Low	Remove	N/A	25	25		
7	Scat	Coast Manna-gum	50	Small	Low	Remove	N/A	30	30		
8	Scat	Coast Manna-gum	69	Medium	Medium	Remove	1	15	35		
9	Scat	Coast Manna-gum	40	Small	Low	Remove	N/A	30	30		
10	Scat	Coast Manna-gum	34	Small	Low	Remove	N/A	20	20		
11	Scat	Coast Manna-gum	56	Medium	Medium	Remove	1	15	35		
12	Scat	Coast Manna-gum	57	Medium	Medium	Remove	1	15	35		
13	Scat	Swamp Gum	32	Small	Low	Remove	N/A	15	15		
14	Scat	Swamp Gum	52	Small	Low	Remove	N/A	30	30		
15	Scat	Swamp Gum	55	Small	Low	Remove	N/A	30	30		
	Totals						3	283	343		

**DBH** = Diameter at breast height (130 cm from the ground)



#### Appendix 4: EVC Benchmarks

- Gippsland Plain:
  - Damp Sands herb-rich Woodland (EVC 3);
  - o Swamp Scrub (EVC 53);
  - o Plains Grassy Woodland (EVC 55); and
  - o Creekline Grassy Woodland (EVC 68).



# **EVC/Bioregion Benchmark for Vegetation Quality Assessment**

Gippsland Plain bioregion

# EVC 3: Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland

#### **Description:**

A low, grassy or bracken-dominated eucalypt forest or open woodland to 15 m tall with a large shrub layer and ground layer rich in herbs, grasses, and orchids. Occurs mainly on flat or undulating areas on moderately fertile, relatively well-drained, deep sandy or loamy topsoils over heavier subsoils (duplex soils).

Large trees: Species Eucalyptus spp		<b>DBH(cm)</b> 70 cm	<b>#/ha</b> 15 / ha		
Tree Canopy (	Cover:				
<b>%cover</b> 15%	Character Species Eucalyptus viminalis ssp. pry	voriana	C	<b>ommon</b> ough-barke	<b>Name</b> ed Manna Gum
Understorey:					
Life form		#Sp	p %	Cover	LF code
Immature Can	opy Tree	-	- 59	%	IT
Understorey Tr	ee or Large Shrub	1	5%	6	Т
Medium Shrub		5	25	5%	MS
Small Shrub		3	5%	6	SS
Prostrate Shru	C	1	19	%	PS
Large Herb		2	5%	6	LH
Medium Herb		8	15	5%	MH
Small or Prostr	ate Herb	5	10	)%	SH
Large Tufted G	iraminoid	2	10	)%	LTG
Large Non-tuft	ed Graminoid	1	19	6	LNG
Medium to Sm	all Tufted Graminoid	4	10	)%	MTG
Medium to Tin	y Non-tufted Graminoid	2	10	)%	MNG
Ground Fern		1	15	5%	GF
Bryophytes/Lic	hens	na	10	1%	BL



# EVC 3: Damp Sands Herb-rich Woodland - Gippsland Plain bioregion

LF Code	Species typical of at least part of EVC range	Common Name
Т	Acacia mearnsii	Black Wattle
Т	Acacia melanoxylon	Blackwood
MS	Epacris impressa	Common Heath
MS	Leptospermum continentale	Prickly Tea-tree
MS	Banksia marginata	Silver Banksia
MS	Leptospermum myrsinoides	Heath Tea-tree
SS	Leucopogon virgatus	Common Beard-heath
SS	Dillwynia glaberrima	Smooth Parrot-pea
SS	Amperea xiphoclada var. xiphoclada	Broom Spurge
PS	Astroloma humifusum	Cranberry Heath
MH	Gonocarpus tetragynus	Common Raspwort
MH	Drosera peltata ssp. auriculata	Tall Sundew
MH	Viola hederacea sensu Willis (1972)	Ivy-leaf Violet
MH	Geranium solanderi s.l.	Austral Cranesbill
SH	Hydrocotyle laxiflora	Stinking Pennywort
SH	Opercularia varia	Variable Stinkweed
SH	Dichondra repens	Kidney-weed
SH	Poranthera microphylla	Small Poranthera
LTG	Lomandra longifolia	Spiny-headed Mat-rush
LTG	Austrostipa mollis	Supple Spear-grass
LNG	Tetrarrhena juncea	Forest Wire-grass
MTG	Lepidosperma concavum	Sandhill Sword-sedge
MTG	Dianella revoluta s.l.	Black-anther Flax-lily
MTG	Lomandra filiformis	Wattle-headed Mat-rush
MTG	Poa sieberiana	Grey Tussock-grass
MNG	Microlaena stipoides var. stipoides	Weeping Grass
GF	Pteridium esculentum	Austral Bracken

#### **Recruitment:**

Continuous

#### **Organic Litter:**

40 % cover

#### Logs:

15 m/0.1 ha.

#### Weediness:

LF Code MH

LTG LNG **Typical Weed Species** Hypochoeris radicata Anthoxanthum odoratum Holcus lanatus

**Common Name** Cat's Ear Sweet Vernal Grass Yorkshire Fog

Invasive high high high

Impact low high high

Published by the Victorian Government Department of Sustainability and Environment April 2004

© The State of Victoria Department of Sustainability and Environment 2004

- This publication is copyright. Reproduction and the making available of this material for personal, in-house or non-commercial purposes is authorised, on condition that:
  - the copyright owner is acknowledged; no official connection is claimed;

  - the material is made available without charge or at cost; and

• the material is not subject to inaccurate, misleading or derogatory treatment. Requests for permission to reproduce or communicate this material in any way not permitted by this licence (or by the fair dealing provisions of the *Copyright Act 1968*) should be directed to the Nominated Officer, Copyright, 8 Nicholson Street, East Melbourne, Victoria, 3002.

For more information contact: Customer Service Centre, 136 186

This publication may be of assistance to you but the State of Victoria and its employees do not guarantee that the publication is without flaw of any kind or is wholly appropriate for your particular purposes and therefore disclaims all liability for any error, loss or other consequence which may arise from you relying on any information in this publication.

#### www.dse.vic.gov.au

# EVC/Bioregion Benchmark for Vegetation Quality Assessment

**Gippsland Plain bioregion** 

# EVC 53\_61: Swamp Scrub

#### Description:

Closed scrub to 8 m tall at low elevations on alluvial deposits along streams or on poorly drained sites with higher nutrient availability. The EVC is dominated by Swamp Paperbark *Melaleuca ericifolia* (or sometimes Woolly Tea-tree *Leptospermum lanigerum*) which often forms a dense thicket, out-competing other species. Occasional emergent eucalypts may be present. Where light penetrates to ground level, a moss/lichen/liverwort or herbaceous ground cover is often present. Dry variants have a grassy/herbaceous ground layer.

#### Canopy Cover:

<b>%cover</b> 50%	Character Species Leptospermum lanigerum Melaleuca ericifolia	<b>Common Name</b> Woolly Tea-tree Swamp Paperbark		
Understorey:				
Life form		#Spp	%Cover	LF code
Medium Shrub		2	10%	MS
Small Shrub		2	1%	SS
Large Herb		2	5%	LH
Medium Herb		3	15%	MH
Small or Prostra	te Herb	2	5%	SH
Large Tufted Gra	aminoid	2	10%	LTG
Large Non-tufted	d Graminoid	3	10%	LNG
Medium to Smal	I Tufted Graminoid	2	5%	MTG
Medium to Tiny	Non-tufted Graminoid	2	15%	MNG
Ground Fern		1	5%	GF
Scrambler or Cli	mber	1	1%	SC
Bryophytes/Lich	ens	na	20%	BL

LF Code	Species typical of at least part of EVC range	Common Name
MS	Coprosma quadrifida	Prickly Currant-bush
MS	Leptospermum continentale	Prickly Tea-tree
LH	Lycopus australis	Australian Gipsywort
LH	Lythrum salicaria	Purple Loosestrife
LH	Persicaria praetermissa	Spotted Knotweed
MH	Hydrocotyle pterocarpa	Wing Pennywort
MH	Stellaria angustifolia	Swamp Starwort
MH	Lobelia anceps	Angled Lobelia
SH	Crassula helmsii	Swamp Crassula
LTG	Juncus procerus	Tall Rush
LTG	Poa labillardierei	Common Tussock-grass
LNG	Gahnia radula	Thatch Saw-sedge
LNG	Phragmites australis	Common Reed
LNG	Baumea rubiginosa s.l.	Soft Twig-rush
MTG	Triglochin procerum s.l.	Water Ribbons
MTG	Juncus gregiflorus	Green Rush
MNG	Eleocharis acuta	Common Spike-sedge
GF	Blechnum cartilagineum	Gristle Fern
SC	Calystegia sepium	Large Bindweed



#### **Recruitment:**

Continuous

#### **Organic Litter:**

40 % cover

#### Weediness:

#### LF Code MH

LNG

**Typical Weed Species** Hypochoeris radicata Holcus lanatus

Common Name Cat's Ear Yorkshire Fog

Invasive high high

Impact low high

Published by the Victorian Government Department of Sustainability and Environment February 2005

© The State of Victoria Department of Sustainability and Environment 2005

This publication is copyright. Reproduction and the making available of this material for personal, in-house or non-commercial purposes is authorised, on condition that:

- the copyright owner is acknowledged; no official connection is claimed; •
- the material is made available without charge or at cost; and

• the material is not subject to inaccurate, misleading or derogatory treatment. Requests for permission to reproduce or communicate this material in any way not permitted by this licence (or by the fair dealing provisions of the *Copyright Act 1968*) should be directed to the Nominated Officer, Copyright, 8 Nicholson Street, East Melbourne, Victoria, 3002.

For more information contact: Customer Service Centre, 136 186

This publication may be of assistance to you but the State of Victoria and its employees do not guarantee that the publication is without flaw of any kind or is wholly appropriate for your particular purposes and therefore disclaims all liability for any error, loss or other consequence which may arise from you relying on any information in this publication.

#### www.dse.vic.gov.au

# **EVC/Bioregion Benchmark for Vegetation Quality Assessment**

Gippsland Plain bioregion

# EVC 55: Plains Grassy Woodland

#### **Description:**

An open, eucalypt woodland to 15 m tall occurring on a number of geologies and soil types. Occupies poorly drained, fertile soils on flat or gently undulating plains at low elevations. The understorey consists of a few sparse shrubs over a species-rich grassy and herbaceous ground layer.

Large trees	:			
Species		DBH(cm)	#/ha	
Eucalyptus	spp.	80 cm	10 / ha	
Tree Canop	y Cover:			
%cover	Character Species		Com	mon Name
20%	Eucalyptus tereticornis	ssp. <i>mediana</i>	Gipps	land Red-gum
	Eucalyptus camaldulen	sis	River	Red-gum
Understore	y:			
Life form	-	#Sp	op %Co	ver LF code
Immature C	anopy Tree	•	• 5%	IT
Understorey	Tree or Large Shrub	1	5%	Т
Medium Shr	ub	2	10%	MS
Small Shrub	1	1	1%	SS
Prostrate Sh	nrub	1	1%	PS
Large Herb		1	5%	LH
Medium Her	Ъ	10	20%	MH
Small or Pro	strate Herb	3	5%	SH
Large Tufte	d Graminoid	2	5%	LTG
Large Non-t	ufted Graminoid	1	10%	LNG
Medium to S	Small Tufted Graminoid	9	35%	MTG
Medium to	Tiny Non-tufted Graminoid	2	10%	MNG
Bryophytes/	Lichens	na	10%	BL
LF Code	Species typical of a	t least part of EVC ra	ange	Common Name
Т	Allocasuarina littoralis	-	-	Black Sheoak
Т	Acacia mearnsii			Black Wattle
Т	Acacia melanoxylon			Blackwood
MS	Kunzea ericoides			Burgan
SS	Pimelea humilis			Common Rice-flower
PS	Bossiaea prostrata			Creeping Bossiaea
MH	Hypericum gramineum			Small St John's Wort
MH	Oxalis perennans			Grassland Wood-sorrel
SH	Dichondra repens			Kidney-weed
SH	Poranthera microphylla			Small Poranthera
LTG	Austrostipa rudis			Veined Spear-grass
LNG	Gahnia radula			Thatch Saw-sedge
MTG	Themeda triandra			Kangaroo Grass
MTG	Carex breviculmis			Common Grass-sedge
MTG	Lomandra filiformis			Wattle Mat-rush
MTG	Schoenus apogon			Common Bog-sedge
MNG	<i>Microlaena stipoides</i> var.	stipoides		Weeping Grass



#### **Recruitment:**

Continuous

#### **Organic Litter:**

10 % cover

Logs: 10 m/0.1 ha.

#### Weediness:

ccumess.	
LF Code	Typical Weed Species
LH	Plantago lanceolata
MH	Hypochoeris radicata
MH	Centaurium erythraea
LNG	Holcus lanatus
MTG	Anthoxanthum odoratum
MNG	Romulea rosea
MNG	Briza maxima
MNG	Briza minor

Common Name	Invasive	Impact	
Ribwort	high	low	
Cat's Ear	high	low	
Common Centaury	high	low	
Yorkshire Fog	high	high	
Sweet Vernal-grass	high	high	
Onion Grass	high	low	
Large Quaking-grass	high	low	
Lesser Quaking-grass	high	low	

Published by the Victorian Government Department of Sustainability and Environment April 2004

© The State of Victoria Department of Sustainability and Environment 2004

This publication is copyright. Reproduction and the making available of this material for personal, in-house or non-commercial purposes is authorised, on condition that:

- the copyright owner is acknowledged; no official connection is claimed; •
- the material is made available without charge or at cost; and

• the material is not subject to inaccurate, misleading or derogatory treatment. Requests for permission to reproduce or communicate this material in any way not permitted by this licence (or by the fair dealing provisions of the *Copyright Act 1968*) should be directed to the Nominated Officer, Copyright, 8 Nicholson Street, East Melbourne, Victoria, 3002.

For more information contact: Customer Service Centre, 136 186

This publication may be of assistance to you but the State of Victoria and its employees do not guarantee that the publication is without flaw of any kind or is wholly appropriate for your particular purposes and therefore disclaims all liability for any error, loss or other consequence which may arise from you relying on any information in this publication.

#### www.dse.vic.gov.au

# EVC/Bioregion Benchmark for Vegetation Quality Assessment

**Gippsland Plain bioregion** 

# EVC 68: Creekline Grassy Woodland

#### **Description:**

Eucalypt-dominated woodland to 15 m tall with occasional scattered shrub layer over a mostly grassy/sedgy to herbaceous ground-layer. Occurs on low-gradient ephemeral to intermittent drainage lines, typically on fertile colluvial/alluvial soils, on a wide range of suitably fertile geological substrates. These minor drainage lines can include a range of graminoid and herbaceous species tolerant of waterlogged soils, and are presumed to have sometimes resembled a linear wetland or system of interconnected small ponds.

Large trees Species Eucalyptus	spp.	<b>DBH(cm)</b> 80 cm	<b>#/ha</b> 15 / ha	
Tree Canop %cover 15%	y Cover: Character Species Eucalyptus camaldulensis Eucalyptus ovata		<b>Cor</b> Rive Swa	<b>nmon Name</b> r Red Gum mp Gum
Understore Life form	<b>y:</b>	#Sp	р %Со	ver LF code
Immature C Understorey Medium Shr Small Shrub Large Herb Medium Her Small or Pro Large Tufted Medium to S	anopy Tree r Tree or Large Shrub ub strate Herb d Graminoid Small Tufted Graminoid	2 2 2 8 1 3 12	5% 10% 5% 1% 5% 15% 1% 15% 20%	IT T MS SS LH MH SH LTG MTG
Medium to T Bryophytes/	Γiny Non-tufted Graminoid Lichens	3 na	15% 10%	MNG BL
LF Code T MS MS SS MH MH SH LTG LTG LTG MTG MTG MNG	Species typical of at lease Acacia mearnsii Ozothamnus ferrugineus Acacia pycnantha Pimelea humilis Gonocarpus tetragynus Acaena echinata Hydrocotyle laxiflora Carex appressa Poa labillardierei Elymus scaber var. scaber Lachnagrostis filiformis Microlaena stipoides var. stipou	it part of EVC ra	inge	<b>Common Name</b> Black Wattle Tree Everlasting Golden Wattle Common Rice-flower Common Raspwort Sheep's Burr Stinking Pennywort Tall Sedge Common Tussock-grass Common Wheat-grass Common Blown-grass Weeping Grass



#### **Recruitment:**

Continuous

#### **Organic Litter:**

40% cover

#### Logs:

20m/0.1 ha

#### Weediness:

LF Code	Typical Weed Species	Common Name	Invasive	Impact
LH	Sonchus oleraceus	Common Sow-thistle	high	low
LH	Cirsium vulgare	Spear Thistle	high	low
LH	Plantago lanceolata	Ribwort	high	low
MH	Hypochoeris radicata	Cat's Ear	low	low
MH	Anagallis arvensis	Pimpernel	low	low
LTG	Phalaris aquatica	Toowoomba Canary-grass	low	high
MNG	Briza maxima	Large Quaking-grass	high	low
MNG	Romulea rosea	Onion Grass	high	low
MNG	Vulpia bromoides	Squirrel-tail Fescue	high	low
MNG	Briza minor	Lesser Quaking-grass	high	low
MNG	Aira elegantissima	Delicate Hair-grass	high	low

Published by the Victorian Government Department of Sustainability and Environment April 2004

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb{C}}$  The State of Victoria Department of Sustainability and Environment 2004

This publication is copyright. Reproduction and the making available of this material for personal, in-house or non-commercial purposes is authorised, on condition that:

- the copyright owner is acknowledged; no official connection is claimed; •
- the material is made available without charge or at cost; and

• the material is not subject to inaccurate, misleading or derogatory treatment. Requests for permission to reproduce or communicate this material in any way not permitted by this licence (or by the fair dealing provisions of the *Copyright Act 1968*) should be directed to the Nominated Officer, Copyright, 8 Nicholson Street, East Melbourne, Victoria, 3002.

For more information contact: Customer Service Centre, 136 186

This publication may be of assistance to you but the State of Victoria and its employees do not guarantee that the publication is without flaw of any kind or is wholly appropriate for your particular purposes and therefore disclaims all liability for any error, loss or other consequence which may arise from you relying on any information in this publication.

#### www.dse.vic.gov.au

Species	Conserv. Status (DSE)	Habitat Zones	Assessment Process	Outcome	Conservation significance	Justification
Swift Parrot	e	F	A, D, No	No further consideration	N/A	The species is not likely to make significant use of the site in the medium term as it is only expected to past through the area.
Grey-headed Flying-fox	v	F	A, D, No	No further consideration	N/A	The species is not likely to make significant use of the site in the medium term as it is only expected to past through the area.

#### Appendix 5: Best / Remaining 50% habitat assessment for rare and threatened species

Notes: For habitat zones refer to Figure 2; Assessment process refers to Table 2 in the Guide for Assessment of referred planning permit applications (DSE 2007)

